Meeting Notes  
December 13, 2018  
SARA Board Room, 100 E Guenther Street

ATTENDING

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES:  

STAFF & CONSULTANTS: Tony Canez, Steven Dean, Steve Graham, Kristen Hansen, Marianne Kumley, Suzanne Scott, Austin Snell, Lindsey Campbell, Denise Blaz, Anamaria Suscun-Fast, Abigail Bush, Chad Zimmerman

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: Kim Bishop, Rosemary Geyer, Robert Ramirez, Juan Valdez, Robert Amerman, Mark Liberatore, Nita Shever, Belinda Gavallos

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS  
Jerry Geyer, co-chair, called the meeting to order at 8:37 a.m. He then reminded the subcommittee of the protocol allowing each presenter to select when they’d like to take questions, with input from the audience at the end of the meeting. Mr. Geyer then asked attendees to introduce themselves and reviewed calendar items.

CALENDAR ITEMS  
a. Holiday Conjunto Puro Corazon, December 18 from 5:30 to 7:30 p.m. at the San Pedro Creek Culture Park  
b. San Pedro Creek Subcommittee Meeting, January 10 at 8:30 a.m. at the San Antonio River Authority (SARA) Board Room  
c. Westside Creeks Restoration Oversight Committee Meeting, February 19 at 6 p.m. at the San Antonio River Authority (SARA) Board Room

APPROVAL OF MEETING NOTES FROM October 11, 2018  
Motion was made by Scott Baird and seconded by Trey Whiddon to approve meeting notes from October 11. The motion carried unanimously.

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY UPDATE  
Kerry Averyt, San Antonio River Authority, reported the following:

- Mr. Averyt shared the updated phase map

Design Update:  

Phase 1.1 Update

- An additional railing at the Travis Gatehouse is scheduled to be installed after the new year
- Modifications to the “Rain from the Heavens” design feature are ongoing – temporary lighting has been installed but currently turned off to accommodate holiday programming
- The trash screen at the inlet pump station is out for pricing; The Sundt Davila team will be responsible for install once the screen is fabricated
- Mr. Averyt shared an overhead view of the Santa Rosa St. crossing, pointing out the planned safety features including a continuous flashing beacon crosswalk warning sign, a pedestrian active rapid flashing beacon and a mirror that will allow pedestrians to see around the curve
- Planned rumble strips were removed from the initial design at COSA’s request
- Mr. Averyt shared a design map to further illustrate where the planned safety features will be located
- There is no timeline for safety feature installation yet, but it is anticipated installation will not begin for at least another two months and will take approximately one month to complete

1 Text in bold italics indicates a decision made by the subcommittee.  
For more information, contact: Monica Trevino-Ortega at mtrevino-ortega@sara-tx.org
Questions

• Mr. Geyer commented that if the planned safety measures for the Santa Rosa St. crossing are not substantial enough SARA can always make a second request for rumble strips
• Ms. Galvan asked whether there are underground electrical lines in the area of the Santa Rosa St. crossing – Mr. Averyt responded that they will have to run new lines to accommodate the planned safety features as solar panels were explored but determined to not be a feasible energy source
• Ms. Galvan asked whether improvements to underground utilities in Phase 1.1 were made during construction – Mr. Averyt responded that they were not – Ms. Galvan proposed looking into the opportunity to improve underground utilities in the future – Mr. Averyt responded that SARA worked with CPS to relocate an overhead line during Phase 1.1 construction but did not move anything underground

Phase 1.2 Construction Update

• Demolition and utility relocation is ongoing as outlined in early work package 11
• Heavy civil construction is ongoing in early work package 12
• Construction on Commerce Street bridge is ongoing – the construction team is working to get a lane open as soon as possible
• CPS is encountering issues with the conduits there were installed with directional drills to accommodate power lines this is causing additional delays due to the need for conduit repairs; CPS crews are currently splicing lines and trying to get work done by the end of next week
• Construction crew is working to have the far north lane of Commerce Street bridge open at the end of the week
• Mr. Averyt shared photos from Phase 1.2 construction, including the former footprint of the Dollar General building, facing the back of the Alamoada building; the Penner’s parking area; the south half of the Commerce St. bridge; looking south down Calder Alley
• Mr. Averyt shared photos from cameras SARA installed facing the construction site, noting that the cameras will be in place indefinitely allowing for SARA staff to view the site in real time and capture snapshots and video

Questions

• Mr. Geyer asked whether CPS had any gas lines that were also hung under the bridge – Mr. Averyt responded that the gas lines run underneath the creek so the majority of the work currently being completed by CPS is in electrical
• Mr. Geyer clarified that the conduits that Mr. Averyt was referencing are under the new creek bed; Mr. Geyer then asked how that work impedes the bridge construction – Mr. Averyt responded that the CPS crews need to enter manholes at each side of the bridge to access the lines
• Mr. Geyer asked whether opening the far north lane by the end of the week meant that construction on the new half of the bridge was already completed – Mr. Averyt responded that only one half of the bridge was demolished with the north half being left intact
• Mr. Saldana asked who reviews the changes to the construction timeline and anticipated opening date and whether there have been any problems with the approval of the delay – Mr. Averyt noted that changes are approved and reviewed by SARA and Bexar County, specifically by Mr. Averyt and Tony Cantu; In some cases they provide a conditional notice to proceed upon the execution of a change order and in some cases the execution of the documents comes after the work is completed. Mr. Averyt further noted that the guaranteed maximum price provided by the construction team includes allowances for changes, with $8.5 million returned to the project from Phase 1.1
• Mr. Geyer asked what the railroad ties in the Calder Alley photo were used for – Mr. Averyt explained that it was temporary decking allowing machinery to access the site during construction
• Mr. Geyer asked whether the cameras would be used for security following construction – Mr. Averyt responded that they could be used for security, but their main utility will be for maintenance and operations purposes

Partner Coordination

• SARA is continuing coordination with UTSA on their downtown campus expansion and SAISD for their new administration building
• Coordination is also ongoing with the Camaron Street construction project and COSA’s upcoming Commerce Street construction project
• Mr. Averyt shared the UTSA downtown campus ten year build out plan map
• Mr. Averyt shared overhead renderings of the Fox Tech Campus and SAISD Administrative building plan
• SARA team met with SAISD officials to ask whether they would consider putting a green space between their project and the creek, which they obliged; Plan also includes putting in a crosswalk and stairwell connection to allow people from the SAISD facilities to walk directly down to the Plaza de Fundacion
• SARA and SAISD are also in coordination with the City of San Antonio on planned Kingsbury St. improvements
• There was a joint public meeting held to solicit input for the Fox Tech campus renovation
• Updated SAISD renovation renderings will be available to be shared at the next meeting
• Mr. Averyt shared a rendering of the Camaron St. project from Travis St. north – the block to Houston St. was not included because SARA and the Frost construction project are handling sidewalk improvements in that block
• Utility improvements, sidewalk improvements, pavement improvements, crossing improvements and lighting improvements are scheduled to be made along the creek as part of the Camaron St. project
• The Camaron St. project work is being added to the Sundt Davilia contract
• Bump outs for parking along Camaron St. are not currently included in the design because they don’t yet have the right of way to expand – COSA is looking to get a donation of right of way in order to complete the bump outs
• Mike Frisbie has left the City of San Antonio and SARA is looking into how he will be replaced on the executive committee, with the next executive committee meeting scheduled for January

Questions
• Mr. Geyer asked whether Mr. Averyt would be showing anything about the Camaron St. and SAISD administration building – Mr. Averyt responded that he had included renderings in his presentation to share
• Mr. Geyer asked for Mr. Averyt to point out where South Laredo St. on the UTSA downtown campus build out plan would be, as it will be closed as part of the construction
• Mr. Geyer asked whether SARA has given the UTSA downtown project everything they need at this point – Mr. Averyt responded that SARA has provided everything they have
• Mr. Geyer asked whether UTSA was provided with the RIO-7 guidelines – Ms. Scott responded that the guidelines are available online
• Mr. Geyer noted that a city real estate representative and a UTSA representative have been to the Casa Navarro landmark to discuss how construction will affect that area
• Mr. Geyer noted that he and Mr. Cantu will assist in enabling the discussion between Bexar County and UTSA in order to ensure that it is a well coordinated urban development
• Ms. Radle noted that SAISD really appreciated the joint meeting with SARA – SAISD held three public meetings prior to the joint meeting with SARA and were delighted at the positive community response received
• Ms. Radle also noted that creating a direct connection down to the creek was a priority for the District and they are appreciative of SARA’s help in that effort
• Mr. Saldana asked what the connection from SAISD to the creek will look like – Ms. Radle responded that the stairway will provide direct entry to the creek from the SAISD green space
• Ms. Radle noted that the San Pedro Creek Culture Park grand opening provided opportunity for SAISD officials to reimagine the space in order to add more green space and provide creek connectivity and she is pleased with the way things have turned out
• Ms. Bradley added that she was glad to see the running track maintained – Ms. Radle responded that SAISD is looking at adding a longer track as part of the renovation plan
• Ms. Bradley noted that she has previously taken her dog out to San Pedro Creek Culture Park and that there may be opportunity for SAISD to add a dog park to their planned space
• Ms. Bradley noted that Camaron was misspelled on one of the rendering slides – Mr. Averyt noted that the error was a result of a conglomeration of renderings that was put together and the SARA team is working on a new rendering to be shared with the subcommittee next month
Mr. Geyer asked for Mr. Averyt to point out specific streets to the subcommittee on the overhead rendering – Mr. Averyt noted that the parking for SAISD will be up on Quincy which will push the traffic off of Camaron; Mr. Geyer added that this would accommodate the slower paced street that the committee is wanting Camaron to be

Ms. De La Garza added that she liked Ms. Bradley’s comment on the dog park – she also asked whether that will be an ADA approach from the planned SAISD green space to San Pedro Creek – Mr. Averyt responded that the design team will look to see if a ramp needs to be installed

Ms. De La Garza asked how many stairs there would be between the green space and the creek – Mr. Averyt responded that the renderings are conceptual only and there is no finalized design yet; Ms. Scott added that ADA accessibility is something SARA will look at

Mr. Geyer added that there is already an ADA ramp in the plaza area

Ms. Meyer asked whether SAISD will be responsible for the maintenance of the planned green space and whether there will be 24/7 access from the green space to the creek – Ms. Radle responded that the SAISD facilities team will look into those questions

Mr. Geyer noted that at some point the SAISD parking lot located on Quincy St. will be made into a parking garage, so the green space will help provide a buffer – Mr. Averyt responded that there are future plans for a 750 space parking garage at that location

Ms. Radle noted that the planned green space as depicted on the map is where the current parking lot is

Ms. Scott noted that SAISD approved the access to the parking lot moving to Quincy and Flores instead of Camaron in order to continue discouraging the creek becoming the back door or parking lot access to businesses; Ms. Scott thanked Ms. Radle for her leadership in discussing the options to leverage things in favor of the creek, making it a place for both students and the community to enjoy

Mr. Geyer noted that the San Pedro Creek Culture Park grand opening help to shift SAISD superintendent Dr. Pedro Martinez’s sentiments on selling the current Fox Tech property

Mr. Geyer noted he was happy to see the coordination and cooperation between SARA and SAISD

Mr. Geyer asked whether the Camaron Street project was at 50 percent design – Mr. Averyt responded that it was

Mr. Geyer asked whether Houston St. to Travis St. on Camaron St. would be one way – Mr. Averyt responded that it is two lanes, one way – Camaron from Commerce St. to Houston St. is two very narrow lanes

Mr. Geyer commented that the design on the Frost Tower not facing the creek is an odd choice

Mr. Geyer commented that SARA and the subcommittee should still go forward and ask that the street be cut down to one lane and include a dedicated bike lane at the west side abutting the creek; He noted his desire to continue to ask and go as high as possible in order to bring this to fruition, as it is in the best interest of the community in order to make it as safe and as useful as possible.

Mr. Geyer asked who should be asking what level in the city to have a meeting concerning the bike lane – Mr. Averyt noted that at his level he has already expressed that desire but that it could be discussed in executive committee; Mr. Geyer directed the question to Ms. Scott who responded that the previous letter sent mentioned both Santa Rosa St. and Camaron St. and Mr. Cantu concurred; Ms. Scott said that SARA has already read a statement on Camaron St. from the subcommittee at a meeting – she asked for time to think about it internally and look into options to get meetings, noting a change in the City’s Senior Engineer

Ms. Scott added that trying to reduce the amount of access for parking lots is an effort toward making Camaron St. meet the subcommittees desires

Phase 1.2 Design Update

The guaranteed maximum price for Phase 1.2 was presented to Commissioners Court last week

The total cost of Phase 1.2, including the ongoing early work packages is $59.2 million – this does not include the bridge at Nueva or the gatehouse – with the contractor fees the total guaranteed maximum price is $74.7 million
• The guaranteed maximum prices is 20 percent higher than the preliminary estimate – the increase is due to more detailed designs being developed, better defined scope of work, market-driven cost increases in materials and labor and an extended construction schedule of 31 months
• Completion of Phase 1.2 is scheduled for March 2021
• Previously approved funds were $26.3 million – with additional utility scope of $145,725 the total required authorization to meet the guaranteed maximum price is $48.5M
• In addition to the construction costs there are additional design costs and archeological mitigation costs of $4.8 million and $3 million for SARA administrative costs which will carry through the design for Phase 1
• In total funding authorizations needed for Phase 1.2 totaled $56.4 million, less the $39.9 million in project funding remaining, an additional $16.4 million in funding was sought to complete Phase 1.2
• Of the $16.4 million needed, $2.5 million is allotted for utility relocation which will be reimbursed by the utility therefore the funding need from Bexar County ended up being $13.9 million for the completion of Phase 1.2
• In addition to funding for the completion of Phase 1.2 construction, additional funding was requested to complete the design for Phase 1.3 and Phase 2 – the design is currently sitting at 70 percent with approximately $2.98 million needed for completion; Full design completion is anticipated by October 2019 with an anticipated construction start date still to be determined
• Bexar County Commissioners Court approved both the $13.9 million for the completion of Phase 1.2 construction and the $2.98 million for the completion of the design for Phase 1.3 and Phase 2
• Bexar County is anticipating additional funding to address the shortfalls, including reimbursement from the Army Core of Engineering for Mission Reach – the balance received and presently available is $25.82 million minus the $13.9 million additional funding for Phase 1.2 construction and the $2.89 million for design leaves the reimbursement funds at $8.9 million
• An additional $4.45 million is pending reimbursement in 2019 from the Army Core of Engineers Work Plan
• Mission Reach is the only federally funded project that the county advanced funds for with the advance approved as a visitors tax
• In total, SARA received five Commissioners Court approvals, outlined below:
  o Revised project budget and authorization for SARA to provide a notice to proceed for preconstruction services in the amount not to exceed $48.48 million
  o SARA to receive additional funding for project management and construction administration services in the amount of $3.06 million
  o SARA to execute agreement with HDR for additional Phase 1.2 design team services in the amount of $4.8 million
  o Additional design and preconstruction services for Phases 1.3 and 2 in the amount of $2.98 million
  o Approval of design and construction contract amendments and related interlocal agreements

Questions
• Mr. Geyer asked what contributed to the additional design cost – Mr. Zimmerman noted that the design development had to do with the restroom facility, which is located across from the Alameda Plaza, finishes of the Alameda Plaza itself, work on the Alameda Gardens area and extra finishes as well as extra finishes and details added in the block between Houston St. and De La Rosa St. which added time to the anticipated construction schedule
• Mr. Geyer asked whether the major impact is between Houston St. and Commerce St. – Mr. Zimmerman responded that is the most densely packed area where the major work is happening
• Mr. Saldana expressed his concern about a guaranteed maximum price that is continuously increasing – Mr. Averyt responded that this is the first guaranteed maximum price that SARA has received, so there has been no continually increase and that the guaranteed maximum price includes allowances to help account for any changes
• Mr. Saldana asked whether there were any findings from the archeological mitigation – Mr. Averyt responded they found thousands of artifacts from the Spanish colonial era but nothing warranting additional mitigation
• Mr. Geyer asked where the funds remaining have come from – Mr. Averyt noted that it is the total amount committed by all sources $19.5 million from the City of San Antonio, $132 million from Bexar County – of that number almost $40 million was remaining that had not been allotted
• Mr. Geyer asked whether anything was left from the $158 million – Ms. Scott responded that the $158 million was already spent, so adding the additional funding needed, the total project amount was increasing to $178 million
• Mr. Geyer asked for the total project amount to be emailed to the committee before the January meeting
• Mr. Saldana asked for how the breakdown of the $4.8 million for HDR – Mr. Averyt responded that the $1.6 million is for archeological support and supplemental services and $2.6 million is for construction support services
• Mr. Geyer asked if the total cost of the project would be covered if Bexar County got all the reimbursement possible – Ms. Scott responded that there is $13.5 million in reimbursement still available and Bexar County makes the decision whether they want to hold that for future San Pedro Creek Culture Park construction or do something else with it
• Mr. Geyer asked when SARA will have an idea of what the overall target for the project would be – Ms. Scott responded that they will have a better idea when the design for Phase 1.3 and Phase 2 is completed

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE UPDATE
Kristen Hansen, San Antonio River Authority, provided the following updates:

Plaza de Fundación Update
• The plaza water continues to be managed for water quality – during this time, samples are taken daily to determine if bacteria levels are acceptable – otherwise, samples are taken every Wednesday
• Ms. Hansen shared a graph of recent the bacteria levels, noting spikes caused by rain events on December 7 and December 8
• SARA has executed a lease agreement with the Christopher Columbus Italian Society for use of its parking lot for 60 months
• The lot will be used for San Pedro Creek Culture Park patrons and park events, with 20 of the 50 spots reserved for SARA every day; SARA will also have the opportunity to reserve all 50 spots 20 times per year for events
• The Christopher Columbus parking lot was resurfaced and striped by Thanksgiving in order to prepare for the Dec. 8 Illume event; Parking stops were installed as all spaces and the lot has four handicapped accessible spots
• Additional planned improvements include redoing the railing of the fencing around the parking lot and building an enclosure for the dumpster
• SARA is in the process of working with COSA Transportation and Capital Improvements (TCI) on the Continuous Deflection Separation (CDS) systems; CDS systems are concrete structures that use the natural motion of water to separate and trap pollutants – there were six installed as part of Phase 1.1
• Separated trash settles at the bottom of the CDS system and will be vacuumed out by TCI when necessary

Questions
• Mr. Geyer asked whether temperature variations affect the bacteria levels – Ms. Hansen responded that they do not

Operations and Maintenance
• Ms. Hansen shared the new signage restricting motorized vehicle use around the park
• Approximately 10-12 scooters have been found in the creek to date; SARA maintenance staff calls the appropriate companies to come retrieve the scooters

Questions
• Mr. Geyer asked whether SARA went before the city to talk about dockless scooters – Ms. Hansen responded that the city is taking the lead, but SARA is involved in the stakeholder group when meetings on dockless scooters happen

ART UPDATE
Carrie Brown, San Antonio River Authority, provided the following updates:

**Illume**
- Illume took place on Dec. 8 – there was good attendance despite the cold weather
- Ms. Brown shared photos from the Illume event, including two temporary artworks – the event launched the San Pedro Creek Culture Park’s Temporary Art Program
- SARA hosted an additional event featuring Native American tribes on Dec. 11
- Final event will be hosted on Dec. 18 featuring free food, tamales and live music
- SARA is taking weather into account in planning the next year of activities, given what was learned over the past year
- There will be a closing reception for Ansen Seale’s temporary artwork in January, serving as another opportunity to connect with the community

**Questions**
- Mr. Geyer asked where Ansen Seale’s temporary artwork is located – Ms. Brown responded that it spans two blocks from the Travis St. gatehouse north to Santa Rosa St.
- Mr. Geyer asked whether the events are getting enough publicity – Ms. Brown responded that she had TPR exposure, community calendars, local press and social media engagement; San Pedro Creek Culture Park events are starting to generate a lot of buzz and she is seeing a lot of repeat visitors

**Phase 1.2 Art Update**
- Ms. Brown shared photos of the public art opportunities for Phase 1.2 which were approved for funding by Commissioners Court
  - Houston St. to Commerce St.: Water Wall – looking at light-based artwork integrated into the water wall design element
    - Programmable light fixtures – may include additional components like music
    - Total project budget is $100k all inclusive
  - Commerce St. to Dolorosa St.: Five-part mural
    - Artwork theme based on interpretive plan
    - Ceramic tile, glass mosaic or combination of durable materials
    - May include one temporary mural
    - $465,000 all-inclusive budget
- SARA will put out an RFQ for artists to develop a detailed scope of work for these opportunities; All San Pedro Creek Culture Park pre-qualified artists will be eligible to submit
- The selection process timeline will be from January to March 2019 with artist selection to take place in April 2019
- The artist pre-qualification list will be opened for new submission in the second half of 2019

**Questions**
- Mr. Geyer asked whether the details for artwork will come from the interpretive plan – Ms. Brown responded that the interpretive plan serves as a high level guideline
- Mr. Geyer asked whether there is an estimate for when Phase 1.2 artwork would be completed – Ms. Brown responded that the artwork will follow the construction schedule with completion anticipated in March 2021
- Mr. Geyer noted that Ms. Brown is front loading the RFQ so the artists will have appropriate time
- A member of the audience representing District 5 asked whether there are plans to partner with arts and culture focused organizations, specifically in districts 1 and 5 to provide year-long programming in the space – Ms.
Brown responded that there are opportunities to engage both arts and non-arts locations along the creek, preliminary work has been done reaching out to organizations and San Pedro Creek Culture Park ultimately wants to serve as a venue for other people to use and enhance their own programming opportunities
• The representative from District 5 asked what the fee structure would look like for organizations to conduct programming at the park – Ms. Brown responded that the fee structure has not been determined yet
• A member of the audience asked whether there would be a call out for artists to join the pre-qualifications list for the five-part mural – Ms. Brown responded that the existing pre-qualification list would be utilized for the Phase 1.2 opportunities and the list would be opened again for new submission in 2019
• The audience member asked whether the artists who submitted proposals for the Tricentennial artwork were part of the pre-qualification list – Ms. Brown responded that the pre-qualified list is different from the Tricentennial artwork list
• An audience member asked where the sculpture lawn is located – Ms. Brown responded it is between Dolorosa St. and Nueva St. – adjacent to the Bexar County jail
• Mr. Geyer asked whether there is any update on the Tricentennial artwork – Ms. Brown responded it is still in the selection process with the four finalists and an update is expected in January

COMMUNICATIONS UPDATE
Monica Trevino-Ortega, San Antonio River Authority, provided the following updates:

Interpretive Plan Update
• An initial interpretive plan meeting was held on October 26
• The interpretive plan committee has added two new members – Silvia Alcarez from Zona Cultural and Clinton McKenzie, an appointed member of the Bexar County Historical Commission
• The next meeting will be held in late January or early February

General Updates
• Visitors now have the option to access the audio tour in Spanish
• SARA is working on a social media campaign to increase the visibility of the audio tour and the Spanish translated audio tour
• Ms. Trevino-Ortega shared how the Spanish audio tour option is positioned on the San Pedro Creek Culture Park map
• Stickers were redesigned for the existing interpretive signs along the creek to be more visible and user friendly in promoting the audio tour
• Ms. Trevino-Ortega shared renderings of the proposed SAISD administrative building
• SARA received feedback from the subcommittee survey on moving the meetings to 9 a.m. or reducing the total meeting time to one hour – there was not a quorum to vote on moving the meeting at the time of Ms. Trevino-Ortega’s presentation

Questions
• Mr. Geyer noted he feels like reducing the meeting to an hour would not be possible
• Mr. Geyer noted he would like to start the January meeting at 9 a.m. – Mr. Whiddon suggested keeping the meeting at 8:30 a.m. for January until there was a quorum to vote; It was decided that the January meeting would remain at 8:30 a.m.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC AND SUBCOMMITTEE
• An audience member asked about the stairway and potential ADA ramp connecting the proposed SAISD green space to the creek, noting there is already a ramp that exists and adding that an additional ADA access would only duplicate what is already there, emphasizing that it is important for the creek to remain natural – Ms. Bush responded that anyone wanting to make a connection with the creek has to coordinate with SARA and SARA
ensures that they’re looking at all the available information to make the best decision – Mr. Geyer added that every crossing will necessitate the safety measures being put into place at Santa Rosa

- An audience member asked what the pathway to San Pedro Creek will look like – Mr. Geyer responded that what was displayed was just an initial idea and the existing crossing from Kingsbury to Camaron will still exist

ITEMS TO PRESENT TO Westside Creeks Oversight Committee:
- Westside Creeks Oversight Committee meets quarterly and will not meet prior to January 10

ADJOURN

Mr. Geyer adjourned the meeting at 10:39 a.m.